

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,241	08/18/2003	Cheng-Hwa Liu	252011-1180	3277
47390 73	590 09/29/2006		EXAMINER	
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HOSTEMEYER & RISLEY LLP			CAO, PHUONG THAO	
100 GALLERI.	A PARKWAY		1 DE 10 UE	DA DED MA ADED
SUITE 1750			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA, GA 30339			2164	
		•	DATE MAILED: 09/29/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/643,241	LIU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Phuong-Thao Cao	2164	

	Phuong-Thao Cao	2104					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ado	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 16 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ocauco.				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, if (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			/DTOL 224)				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-		ll be entered and an	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9 and 11-19</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			- ()				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>ner</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:							

Primay Examin Primay Examin Art Drit 2167

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Regarding Applicant's argument that there is no teaching in the cited portion of Macbeath of a "symmetry database", Macbeath teaches a database with a common data model which is considered as Applicant's "symmetry database" since data stored in the database in according with the common data model can be used by various management processes without the need for data preparation.

Regarding Applicant's argument that there is no teaching in the cited portion of Macbeath of a process engine fetching data from both the symmetry data source and from another of the process engines, Macbeath teach "these analytical systems may combine the data in the database with that supplied by other firms" wherein an analytical system is considered as a process engine, and data supplied by other firms or agencies is considered as data from another of process engines because each firms or agency must have an process engine to manage and process their data, and further in order to for the analytical system to combine data as disclosed, data must be fetched from the database and process engine of a firm or agency.

Regarding that Applicant's argument that there is no motivation for combining particular features of the two reference, a motivation is provided for modifying the process engines of Pape to include the feature 'fetching data from both the symmetry data source and from another of the process engine" which indicates the advantage of the combination of particular features of the two reference such as ability for interoperating among process engines.